

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Jim Munene,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Kevin K McAleenan, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

No. CV-19-00220-TUC-RM (JR)

**ORDER**

15 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for an Expedited Trial. (Doc. 145.)  
16 In the Motion, Plaintiff complains of the length of time that this litigation has been  
17 pending and the extensions of time that have been granted at Defendant's request. (*Id.*)  
18 He requests that the Court set a confirmed, expedited trial date to ensure this litigation  
19 proceeds without further delay. (*Id.*) In response, Defendant argues that much of the  
20 delay in resolution of this case is attributable to lengthy stays occasioned by Plaintiff's  
21 military service, that this case does not present any urgent issues requiring expedited  
22 processing, and that setting an expedited trial while Defendant's summary judgment  
23 motion is pending would be wasteful and prejudicial. (Doc. 150.) In reply, Plaintiff  
24 argues that he continues to suffer humiliation and embarrassment as a result of the  
25 alleged Title VII violations at issue in this litigation, and that only an expedited trial will  
26 "suffice to cure the hardship, humiliation, and embarrassment that Defendant has caused  
27 on Plaintiff." (Doc. 152.)


28 Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 126) remains pending. The

1 parties' joint proposed pretrial order will be due within 30 days of resolution of the  
2 Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. 91.) Once the parties file their joint proposed  
3 pretrial order, the Court will hold a pretrial conference for purposes of scheduling a firm  
4 trial date. Plaintiff has not shown that the nature of this litigation warrants departure  
5 from the Court's standard procedures. The Court is cognizant of the length of time that  
6 this litigation has been pending, and the parties are advised to avoid unnecessary delay.  
7 However, Plaintiff's Motion for an Expedited Trial will be denied.

8 **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for an Expedited Trial (Doc. 145) is  
9 **denied**. The above-captioned case remains referred to Magistrate Judge Jacqueline M.  
10 Rateau for all pretrial proceedings and report and recommendation.

11 Dated this 30th day of May, 2024.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

Honorable Rosemary Márquez  
United States District Judge